



**COUNCIL OF  
THE EUROPEAN UNION**



5922/07 (Presse 16)

**PRESS RELEASE**

2781st Council meeting

**Justice and Home Affairs**

Brussels, 15 February 2007

President      **Mr Wolfgang SCHÄUBLE**  
Federal Minister of the Interior of Germany  
**Ms Brigitte ZYPRIES**  
Federal Minister of Justice of Germany

**P R E S S**

---

## **Main Results of the Council**

*The Council agreed on the integration into the EU legal framework of the parts of the Prüm Treaty relating to police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters (Title VI of the EU Treaty, the so-called "third pillar"), with the exception of the provision relating to cross-border police intervention in the event of imminent danger (Article 18). This last particular issue will be further examined by the Council at one of its forthcoming sessions.*

*The Council also agreed on a general approach concerning a draft Framework Decision on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgments in criminal matters imposing custodial sentences or measures involving deprivation of liberty for the purpose of their enforcement in the EU. This instrument will allow the transfer of sentenced persons to another Member State for the purpose of enforcement of the sentence imposed, taking into account the possibility of social rehabilitation of the sentenced person.*

*Finally the Council adopted a Regulation establishing the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights. This Agency will provide the relevant institutions and Member States with assistance and expertise relating to fundamental rights in order to support them when they take measures or formulate courses of action to fully respect fundamental rights.*

**CONTENTS**<sup>1</sup>

<b>PARTICIPANTS</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>ITEMS DEBATED</b>	
INTEGRATION OF THE TREATY OF PRÛM INTO THE EU LEGAL ORDER.....	7
MIGRATION.....	9
TRANSFER OF SENTENCED PERSONS TO ANOTHER MEMBER STATE.....	11
COMBATING RACISM AND XENOPHOBIA .....	12
MIXED COMMITTEE.....	13
– SISone4all.....	13
– Global rescheduling of the Schengen Information System II.....	13
– Network for SIS, SIRENE and Vision (SIS-NET and S-Testa).....	13
– FRONTEX.....	13
ANY OTHER BUSINESS.....	14
– Moldova.....	14
– Environmental protection through criminal law.....	14

<sup>1</sup>

- Where declarations, conclusions or resolutions have been formally adopted by the Council, this is indicated in the heading for the item concerned and the text is placed between quotation marks.
- Documents for which references are given in the text are available on the Council's Internet site (<http://www.consilium.europa.eu>).
- Acts adopted with statements for the Council minutes which may be released to the public are indicated by an asterisk; these statements are available on the Council's Internet site or may be obtained from the Press Office.

**OTHER ITEMS APPROVED***JUSTICE AND HOME AFFAIRS*

–	EU Agency for Fundamental Rights .....	15
–	EU agency for fundamental rights - Cooperation with the Council of Europe.....	16
–	Customs cooperation .....	16
–	Europol - Cooperation agreement with Australia .....	16
–	Europol - 2005 budget – Audit report and discharge to the director .....	16
–	Europol - Negotiations with third States and non EU-related bodies .....	16
–	Trafficking in human beings - 2006 World Cup in Germany.....	17
–	Network for SIS, SIRENE and VISION.....	17
–	EU agency for cooperation at external borders - Participation of Iceland and Norway .....	17

*EXTERNAL RELATIONS*

–	Mexico - Partnership Agreement - EU enlargement .....	17
–	Special representatives of the EU - Extension of mandates - New representatives for the African Great Lakes .....	17

## PARTICIPANTS

The Governments of the Member States and the European Commission were represented as follows:

### Belgium:

Ms Laurette ONKELINX  
Mr Patrick DEWAELE

Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Justice  
Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for the Interior

### Bulgaria:

Mr Georgi PETKANOV  
Mr Rumen PETKOV

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### Czech Republic:

Mr Jiří POSPIŠIL  
Mr Ivan LANGER

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### Denmark:

Ms Lene ESPERSEN

Minister for Justice

### Germany:

Ms Brigitte ZYPRIES  
Mr Wolfgang SCHÄUBLE  
Mr Lutz DIWELL  
Mr Peter ALTMAIER

Federal Minister for Justice  
Federal Minister for the Interior  
State Secretary of the Federal Ministry of Justice  
Parliamentary State Secretary to the Federal Minister for the Interior

### Estonia:

Mr Rein LANG  
Mr Kalle LAANET

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### Ireland:

Mr Bobby McDonagh

Permanent Representative

### Greece:

Mr Byron POLYDORAS

Minister for Public Order

### Spain:

Mr Alfredo PÉREZ RUBALCABA  
Ms Cristina LATORRE SANCHO

Minister for Interior  
Director-General for International Legal Cooperation

### France:

Mr Pierre SELLAL

Permanent Representative

### Italy:

Mr Giuliano AMATO

Minister for the Interior

### Cyprus:

Mr Sofoklis SOFOKLEOUS

Minister for Justice and Public Order

### Latvia:

Mr Ivars GODMANIS

Minister of the Interior

### Lithuania:

Mr Petras BAGUŠKA  
Mr Raimondas SUKYS

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### Luxembourg:

Mr Luc FRIEDEN

Minister for Justice, Minister for the Treasury and the Budget  
Minister with responsibility for Foreign Affairs and Immigration

Mr Nicolas SCHMIT

### Hungary:

Mr József PETRÉTEI

Minister for Justice and Law Enforcement

### Malta:

Mr Tonio BORG

Deputy Prime Minister, Minister for Justice and Home Affairs

**Netherlands:**

Mr E.M.H. HIRSCH BALLIN

Minister for Justice

**Austria:**Ms Maria BERGER  
Mr Günther PLATTERFederal Minister for Justice  
Federal Minister for the Interior**Poland:**Mr Andrzej Sebastian DUDA  
Mr Wiesław TARKADeputy State Secretary, Ministry of Justice  
Deputy State Secretary, Ministry of the Interior and  
Administration**Portugal:**Mr Alberto COSTA  
Mr António COSTAMinister for Justice  
Ministro de Estado, Minister for the Interior**Romania:**Ms Monica Luisa MACOVEI  
Mr Vasile BLAGAMinister for Justice  
Minister for Administration and for the Interior**Slovenia:**Mr Lovro ŠTURM  
Mr Dragutin MATEMinister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior**Slovakia:**Mr Štefan HARABIN  
Mr Robert KALIŇÁKDeputy Prime Minister and Minister for Justice  
Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for the Interior**Finland:**Ms Leena LUHTANEN  
Mr Kari RAJAMÄKIMinister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior**Sweden:**Ms Beatrice ASK  
Mr Tobias BILLSTRÖMMinister for Justice  
Minister for Migration and Asylum Policy**United Kingdom:**

Baroness SCOTLAND OF ASTHAL

Minister of State for the Criminal Justice System and  
Offender Management

Ms Joan RYAN

Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State, Home Office

**Commission:**

Mr Franco FRATTINI

Vice-President

**Other participants:**

Mr Ilkka LAITINEN

Executive Director of the European Agency for the  
Management of Operational Cooperation at the External  
Borders of the Member States of the European Union  
(Frontex)

## **ITEMS DEBATED**

### **INTEGRATION OF THE TREATY OF PRÜM INTO THE EU LEGAL ORDER**

The Council agreed on the integration into the EU legal framework of the parts of the Prüm Treaty relating to police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters (the so-called third pillar), with the exception of the provision relating to cross-border police intervention in the event of imminent danger (Article 48). This particular issue will be further examined by the Council.

#### The Prüm Treaty

Signed on 27 May 2005 at Prüm, Germany, the Treaty is designed to intensify cross-border police cooperation, especially in the fight against terrorism, cross-border crime and illegal migration<sup>1</sup>.

The Treaty has meanwhile entered into force in Austria, Spain and Germany and is expected to be in force in the other original signatory states in the first half of 2007 at the latest. The ratification processes in the countries intending to accede to the Treaty are also well advanced.

Already at this early stage, the automatic information exchange has brought about noticeable operational success: For instance, the German authorities matched DNA profiles of open cases against data held by Austrian authorities and found hits in more than 1500 cases. In this context, over 700 open traces from Germany could be attributed to persons known to the Austrian criminal prosecution authorities. Broken down by types of crime, 14 hits in homicide or murder cases, 885 hits in theft cases, and 85 hits in robbery or extortion cases have been found (as at 4 January). It is true that every hit needs to be examined carefully, and it will not be possible to clear up open cases by a DNA hit alone. Nevertheless it can be expected that hitherto unsolved cases in Germany and Austria can be closed and the perpetrators be brought to justice. In any case, prosecution authorities are confident that the number of hits will increase constantly as further Prüm countries take part in this process, and that they will thus be able to solve numerous other open cases.

The special value of the Treaty lies in the substantially improved and efficiently organised procedures for the exchange of information. The states involved may now give one another automatic access to specific national databases. This amounts to a quantum leap in the cross-border sharing of information.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Treaty text is contained in 10900/05 CRIMORG 65.

The contracting states have full and direct online read access to vehicle registration data held by their partners. They give one another access to their DNA analysis and dactyloscopic (fingerprint) databases in what is called a hit/no hit system. Police services may launch a query in the data system of a contracting partner to find out whether it contains data concerning a specific profile, and are automatically informed of the result within a matter of minutes. Further information, such as personal data, may be communicated in the course of mutual legal assistance.

Furthermore, the exchange of data concerning potential terrorist perpetrators and hooligans is regulated. Police cooperation may also be stepped up through operational measures, such as joint patrols, transferring sovereign powers to police forces of other contracting states, or assistance in the case of large-scale events.

The Treaty contains cooperation mechanisms that need to be regulated at EU level in the First Pillar. This includes provisions regarding document advisers, sky marshals and return measures.

An important aspect of the Treaty is the comprehensive range of modern data protection regulations.

As the drafters of the Prüm Treaty sought to further develop European cooperation, the Treaty has been designed with its conversion into EU law in mind.

The signatory states are: Germany, Belgium, Spain, France, Luxembourg, The Netherlands, and Austria.

Those states having notified their wish to accede to the Prüm Treaty are: Slovenia, Italy, Finland, Portugal, Bulgaria, Romania, Greece and Sweden.

## **MIGRATION**

The Council had an exchange of views on the implementation of the European Council conclusions of 14 and 15 December 2006 relating to a Comprehensive European Migration Policy.

In particular, the Council took forward the discussion on migration which was started at the informal Ministerial meeting in Dresden in January 2007: strengthening cooperation with third countries in the fight against illegal migration through the development of incentives to cooperate, partnership agreements on migration and development, promoting circular migration by allowing temporary stays for the purpose of work or further training and education.

The discussion focussed on a Presidency note which put forward the following ideas:

- countries of origin and transit should be given practical support for capacity-building within the framework of region-specific cooperation platforms for migration and development;
- the appropriate cooperation platforms should be designed on the basis of uniform principles (e.g. regular meetings, ongoing dialogue and exchange of information, monitoring and accompanying evaluation);
- in establishing country-specific migration profiles, particular account should be taken of:
  - causes of migration,
  - own capacity of countries of origin to regulate migration and
  - obstacles in the countries of origin which stand in the way of economic development and exploitation of the potential which exists in those countries.

The note also suggested that, in concluding partnership agreements on migration and development, the following elements might be considered:

- creation of temporary residence permits for work, educational or further-training purposes according to Member States' possibilities;
- granting of optional quotas for certain sectors of the labour market or education by the Member States;

- obligation on partner countries to recognise principles of democracy and the rule of law as well as protection of human rights;
- reliable readmission of own nationals and third-country nationals by the partner countries;
- EU measures to promote reintegration of migrants who have returned to the partner country, and to exploit the knowledge and know-how acquired;
- obligation to create framework conditions in the countries of origin which make it possible to use the development-policy and economic potential of migration in those countries.

The note adverted to the need for reliable guarantees in relation to national competence of Member States for their labour markets.

It should be noted that in December 2006, the European Council invited the Commission to examine by June 2007 how possibilities for legal migration might be incorporated into the EU's policy in the field of external relations, in order to arrive at a balanced partnership with third countries. At the same time, the Commission was also invited to present detailed proposals by June 2007 to better organise legal migration between the EU and third countries and provide information about the various forms of legal migration.

The discussion held today by the Council will help the Commission to draft these proposals. Commission Vice-President Franco Frattini agreed to brief the Council on the state of play of its work on these issues at the next Justice and Home Affairs Council meeting in April 2007.

## **TRANSFER OF SENTENCED PERSONS TO ANOTHER MEMBER STATE**

The Council agreed on a general approach concerning a draft Framework Decision on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgments in criminal matters imposing custodial sentences or measures involving deprivation of liberty for the purpose of their enforcement in the EU.

The agreement reached today on this instrument will allow the transfer of sentenced persons to another Member State for the purpose of enforcement of the sentence imposed, taking into account the possibility of social rehabilitation of the sentenced person.

The Council recognised that Poland needed more time than the other Member States to face the practical and material consequences of transfer of Polish citizens convicted in other Member States, especially in the light of an increased mobility of Polish citizens within the European Union. For that reason, Poland was granted a temporary derogation of limited scope for a maximum period of five years.

All Member States have ratified the Council of Europe Convention on the Transfer of Sentenced Persons of 21 March 1983. Under that Convention, sentenced persons may be transferred to serve the remainder of their sentence only to their State of nationality, and only with their consent and that of the States involved. The Additional Protocol to the Convention of 18 December 1997, which allows transfer without the person's consent, subject to certain conditions, has not been ratified by all the Member States. Neither instrument imposes any basic duty to take charge of sentenced persons for enforcement of a sentence or order.

Relations between the Member States, which are characterised by special mutual confidence in other Member States' legal systems, enable recognition by the executing State of decisions taken by the issuing State's authorities. Therefore, this legal instrument envisages further development of the cooperation provided for in the Council of Europe instruments concerning the enforcement of criminal judgments, in particular where EU nationals or other persons legally residing in one Member State have been the subject of a criminal judgment and have been sentenced to a custodial sentence or a measure involving deprivation of liberty in another Member State.

Enforcement of the sentence in the executing Member State enhances the possibility of social rehabilitation of the sentenced person, giving him or her the opportunity to maintain family, linguistic, cultural and other links.

This draft Framework Decision respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised by Article 6 of the Treaty and reflected by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, in particular Chapter VI thereof. Nothing in this Framework Decision should be interpreted as prohibiting refusal to execute a decision when there are objective reasons to believe that the sentence was imposed for the purpose of punishing a person on the grounds of his or her sex, race, religion, ethnic origin, nationality, language, political opinions or sexual orientation, or that that person's position may be prejudiced on any one of those grounds.

### **COMBATING RACISM AND XENOPHOBIA**

Over lunch, Ministers of Justice held a general discussion of a draft Council Framework Decision on combating racism and xenophobia. This proposal, which was presented in 2001, has not obtained the agreement of the Council so far.

The Presidency is of the opinion that Member States are now committed to reaching an agreement. Therefore the Presidency will prepare a new text on the basis of the discussion held today.

**MIXED COMMITTEE**– ***SISone4all***

The Mixed Committee took note of the state of play of the SISone4all project. Portugal indicated that this project, which will allow, inter alia, removal of the control at the internal borders with the Member States which acceded in 2004, is going well and is on schedule.

– ***Global rescheduling of the Schengen Information System II***

It also took note of the Commission's revised timetable for SISII. Commission Vice-President Franco Frattini announced that SISII is expected to be delayed by six months, and therefore it would be operational in mid-December 2008.

– ***Network for SIS, SIRENE and Vision (SIS-NET and S-Testa)***

The Mixed Committee also took note of the report of the Presidency concerning the network for SIS, SIRENE and Vision, that was approved later in the day by the Council.

– ***FRONTEX***

The Mixed Committee reviewed progress in relation with the proposed Regulation establishing Rapid Border Intervention Teams. The Presidency urged delegations to work towards early agreement on the proposal with a view to reaching an agreement with the European Parliament under the co-decision procedure in April 2007

Under the proposed Regulation, Member States may request Frontex to establish a rapid border intervention team. The team consisting of border guards of the Member States who can provide rapid operational assistance, for a limited period of time, where a requesting Member State is facing a situation of urgent and exceptional pressure. The Regulation will establish a mechanism for this purpose and will define the powers of and tasks to be performed by border guards of the Member States participating in joint operations and pilot projects.

The Mixed Committee also reviewed progress with the establishment by Frontex of a centralised register of technical equipment (the so-called "toolbox") offered by Member States and which could be put at the disposal of another Member State and welcomed the progress already made in this regard. Member States which had yet to do so were encouraged to actively contribute to the toolbox.

**ANY OTHER BUSINESS**– *Moldova*

Commission Vice-President, Franco Frattini drew attention to a number of developments in Moldova arising from the most recent enlargement of the Community, including its impact on the number of Moldavians applying for Romanian passports and proposals for the establishment of a common visa centre .

The Council mandated its preparatory bodies to further examine this question.

– *Environmental protection through criminal law*

Commission Vice-President Franco Frattini informed the Council about the recent Commission proposal for a Directive which would oblige Member States to treat serious offences against the environment as criminal acts and would ensure that they are effectively sanctioned. The proposal also sets minimum sanctions for environmental crimes across the Member States.

In September 2005, the European Court of Justice confirmed that the Community had the competence to oblige Member States to adopt criminal law measures for the protection of the environment if necessary to ensure the efficient implementation of its environmental policy. For this reason it annulled the Framework Decision on environmental crime adopted in 2003 by the Council on the basis of a Member State initiative based on the provisions for judicial cooperation in criminal matters contained in the EU Treaty (Title VI, so called 3rd pillar). The proposal presented today by the Commission therefore is designed to replace both the Council's Framework Decision of 2003 and a proposal for a directive already presented by the Commission in 2001, which the Council rejected when adopting the 2003 Framework Decision.

Member States would be required to ensure that a range of activities (e.g. illegal shipment of waste and unlawful trade in endangered species or in ozone-depleting substances) already prohibited by EU or national legislation are considered criminal offences, when committed intentionally or with serious negligence. Member states would have to ensure that particularly serious environmental crimes are punishable by a maximum of at least 5 years imprisonment and fines for companies of at least €750000. These cases would include crimes that have resulted in death or serious injury of a person or substantial damage to air, soil, water, animals or plants, or when the offence has been committed by a criminal organisation. In addition, the proposed directive provides for supplementary or alternative sanctions, such as the obligation to clean up/reinstate the environment or the possibility of stopping businesses from operating.

The proposed measures will ensure that criminals cannot exploit the significant differences which currently exist between the Member States. Loopholes in the action against environmental crime should therefore no longer exist within the European Union.

**OTHER ITEMS APPROVED****JUSTICE AND HOME AFFAIRS****EU Agency for Fundamental Rights**

The Council adopted a Regulation establishing the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (16241/06).

The objective of the Agency will be to provide the relevant institutions, bodies, offices and agencies of the Community and its Member States implementing Community law with assistance and expertise relating to fundamental rights in order to support them when they take measures or formulate courses of action within their respective spheres of competence to fully respect fundamental rights.

The Agency will collect objective, reliable and comparable information on the development of the situation of fundamental rights, analyse this information in terms of the causes, consequences and effects of failure to respect these rights, and examine examples of good practice in dealing with these matters.

The Agency will have the right to formulate opinions to the Union institutions and to the Member States when implementing Community law, either on its own initiative or at the request of the European Parliament, the Council or the Commission, without interference with the legislative and judicial procedures established in the Treaty. Nevertheless, the institutions should be able to request opinions on their legislative proposals or positions adopted in the course of legislative procedures as far as their compatibility with fundamental rights is concerned.

In addition, it will present an annual report on fundamental rights issues covered by the areas of the Agency's activity, also highlighting examples of good practice. Furthermore, the Agency will produce thematic reports on topics of particular importance to the Union's policies.

The Agency will take measures to raise the awareness of the general public about their fundamental rights, and about possibilities and different mechanisms for enforcing them in general, without, however, dealing with individual complaints itself.

The Regulation repeals Regulation (EC) No 1035/97 with effect from 1 March 2007. The Agency will become operational by 1 March 2007.

In December 2003, the European Council agreed to extend the mandate of the European Monitoring Centre on Racism and Xenophobia, established by Regulation (EC) No 1035/97, and transform this Centre into the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights. The seat of the Agency will remain in Vienna (Austria).

## **EU agency for fundamental rights - Cooperation with the Council of Europe**

The Council adopted a decision authorising the Commission to open negotiations with the Council of Europe, for the conclusion of an agreement concerning cooperation between the EU agency for fundamental rights and the Council of Europe.

## **Customs cooperation**

The Council took note of a report on the implementation of the action plan for the strategy for customs cooperation in the third pillar (2004-2006).

The Council also took note of a report, covering the period from September 2005 to October 2006, on the efficiency and effectiveness of the Customs Information System (CIS).

The aim of the CIS is to develop an efficient alert system in the framework of the fight against fraud in the EU.

## **Europol - Cooperation agreement with Australia**

The Council authorised the director of Europol to conclude a draft agreement with Australia.

The purpose of the agreement is to establish cooperation between Australia and Europol in order to support the Member States of the EU and Australia in the combating of serious forms of international crime, in particular through the exchange of information and regular contacts at all appropriate levels.

## **Europol - 2005 budget – Audit report and discharge to the Director**

The Council gave discharge to the Director of Europol in respect of the budget for 2005 after examining the audit report of the Joint Audit Committee of Europol (16330/06).

## **Europol - Negotiations with third States and non EU-related bodies**

The Council adopted a decision whereby Montenegro is added to the list of third States and non EU-related bodies with which the Director of Europol is authorised to enter into negotiations (5328/07).

### **Trafficking in human beings - 2006 World Cup in Germany**

The Council took note of a report summarising and assessing the measures adopted by Germany and describing the actual extent of trafficking in human beings and forced prostitution (5006/1/07) and a report outlining the preventive measures implemented by non-governmental organisations and specialist advisory bodies with the support of the Federal Government (5008/07).

Both reports will be forwarded to the European Parliament for information.

### **Network for SIS, SIRENE and VISION**

The Council approved the agreement reached on the options for the replacement of the SISNET network contract (network used for the Schengen Information System).

### **EU agency for cooperation at external borders - Participation of Iceland and Norway**

The Council adopted a decision approving an arrangement between the EC and Iceland and Norway on the modalities of those states' participation in the EU agency for cooperation at external borders (Frontex) (5489/07).

Frontex was established in 2004 by Council Regulation No 2007/2004 (OJ L 349 of 25.11.2004).

## **EXTERNAL RELATIONS**

### **Mexico - Partnership Agreement - EU enlargement**

The Council adopted a decision authorising the signature and provisional application of a Second Additional Protocol to the Economic Partnership, Political Coordination and Cooperation Agreement with Mexico to take account of the accession of Bulgaria and Romania to the EU.

### **Special representatives of the EU - Extension of mandates - New representatives for the African Great Lakes**

The Council adopted today joint actions appointing new European Union special representatives (EUSRs) for the African Great Lakes region and for Moldova and extending - in some cases with amendments - the mandates of six other EUSRs.

The joint actions:

– appoint:

Mr Roeland VAN DE GEER as EUSR for the African Great Lakes region from 1 March 2007 to 29 February 2008 (5246/07). He succeeds Mr Aldo Ajello.

Mr Kálmán MIZSEI as EUSR for the Republic of Moldova from 1 March 2007 to 29 February 2008 (5124/07). He succeeds Mr Adriaan Jacobovits de Szeged.

See statements (S055/07 and S056/07) by EU High Representative Javier SOLANA welcoming the appointments.

– Extend the mandates of:

the EUSR for Sudan, Mr Pekka HAAVISTO, until 30 April 2007; and the EUSR for Afghanistan, Mr Francisc VENDRELL, until 29 February 2008 (5125/07 and 5123/07);

– Extend and amend the mandates of:

the EUSR for Central Asia, Mr Pierre MOREL, until 29 February 2008 (5332/07). His mandate is amended chiefly to take account of the objective of developing bilateral energy cooperation with important producer and transit partners in Central Asia;

the EUSRs for the South Caucasus, Mr Peter SEMNEBY (5128/07); for the Middle East Peace Process, Mr Marc OTTE (5127/07) and in the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Mr Erwan FOUÉRE (5126/07), until 29 February 2008. Their mandates are amended chiefly in order to contribute to the implementation of the EU human rights policy.

---